









From Türkiye to China: tectonic strains and velocities in the Alpine-Himalayan Belt from Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS **Tim J Wright¹**, Yasser Maghsoudi¹, Milan Lazecky¹, Andrew J Hooper¹, John R Elliott¹, Qi Ou¹, Andrew Watson¹, Chris Rollins², Lin Shen¹, Jin Fang¹, Dehua Wang¹ Scott Watson¹, Barry Parsons³, Gang Zheng¹, Jonathan Weiss⁴ (1) COMET, University of Leeds, UK; (2) GNS Science, New Zealand; (3) COMET, University of Oxford, UK; (4) NOAA/NWS/Pacific Tsunami Warning Center, USA



Earthquakes without Frontiers



We have entered an era of geodetic big data

GNSS data: e.g. 20,843 points processed by Nevada Geodetic Lab (Blewitt et al., 2018)



COMET-LICS Sentinel-1 InSAR portal

Please fill out the LICSAR feedback form here

Last complied on 2023-09-07. Total interferograms: 1,874,273. Change since last month: 2,118



InSAR: Sentinel-1 has acquired a vast collection of SAR data over Earth's tectonic areas (e.g. COMET-LiCSAR system, has >1.3M interferograms)

We would like to combine these to produce high-resolution maps of tectonic velocities and strains on a continental scale



Why measure tectonic strain?

- Seismic hazard models primarily based on PAST seismicity.
- If we can measure strain, it should be causally linked to FUTURE seismic hazard





Adapted from Elliott, Walters & Wright, 2016

Focus on the Alpine-Himalayan Belt due to high-vulnerability to earthquakes



Original figure from John Elliott













Outline

Method and Tibet case study
 Results from across the Alpine-Himalayan Belt
 Outlook
 Conclusions























Outline

Method and Tibet case study Results from across the Alpine-Himalayan Belt Outlook Conclusions











Methodology: Measuring velocities and strain with InSAR and GNSS



2. Invert for LOS Velocities for each frame (LiCSBAS)





3. Invert InSAR and GNSS to find (simultaneously):(i) reference frame adjustments





(ii) 3D velocity and strain rate fields





Methodology: Measuring velocities and strain with InSAR and GNSS



2. Invert for LOS Velocities for each frame (LiCSBAS)





3. Invert InSAR and GNSS to find (simultaneously):(i) reference frame adjustments





(ii) 3D velocity and strain rate fields







- We make interferograms automatically for 250 x 250 km frames, using LiCSAR (Processed and archived at UK JASMIN/CEDA facility)
- For details of approach see
 - Lazecky et al. Remote Sensing 2020, doi:10.3390/rs12152430
 Lazecky et al. Poster this meeting
- 1.3M+ interferograms are available for download from COMET-LICSAR portal (*Greater than 3 x increase since Fringe 2021*).



Download from http://comet.nerc.ac.uk/COMET-LiCS-portal and





Methodology: Measuring velocities and strain with InSAR and GNSS



2. Invert for LOS Velocities for each frame (LiCSBAS)





3. Invert InSAR and GNSS to find (simultaneously):(i) reference frame adjustments





(ii) 3D velocity and strain rate fields





Methodology: Measuring velocities and strain with InSAR and GNSS



- We create average line-of-sight velocities using LiCSBAS (Morishita et al, 2020)
- We calculate LOS velocities over entire Alpine Himalayan Belt (AHB) at 1 km resolution
- ~651 frames; 155,000 acquisitions;
 670,000 interferograms
- We remove long-wavelength quadratic ramps from each frame.

LiCSBAS: Morishita et al. **Remote Sensing 2020**, doi:10.3390/rs12030424

Max network length (years) 0-2 2-4 4-6 6-8 >8

Line-of-sight velocities - deramped (ascending)

BORL CRUIDELLE









Methodology: Measuring velocities and strain with InSAR and GNSS



2. Invert for LOS Velocities for each frame (LiCSBAS)





3. Invert InSAR and GNSS to find (simultaneously):(i) reference frame adjustments





(ii) 3D velocity and strain rate fields





Creating Velocity Feld: Workflow

- We jointly invert GNSS and InSAR LOS velocities using the Velmap approach (Wang and Wright, GRL 2012).
- This solves for velocities of the nodes in a mesh of spherical triangles (0.2° spacing) AND reference frame adjustment parameters



3. Invert InSAR and GNSS to find (simultaneously): reference frame adjustments Ascending LOS Velocities Descending LOS Velocities **3D** velocity and strain rate fields (ii) Eastward velocity 🗲 Vertical velocity



Velocity Field model



Wright et al., in revision (2023); Preprint at EarthArXiv <u>https://doi.org/10.31223/X5G95R</u>

InSAR line-of-sight velocities in a Eurasia Reference Frame: **Data**



InSAR line-of-sight velocities in a Eurasia Reference Frame: **Model**



InSAR line-of-sight velocities in a Eurasia Reference Frame: **Residual**



Strain Rate Fields (derived from Velocity Field Model)



Wright et al., in revision (2023); Preprint at EarthArXiv https://doi.org/10.31223/X5G95R



Wright et al., in revision (2023); Preprint at EarthArXiv https://doi.org/10.31223/X5G95R

Invert for East and Up velocity grids at InSAR resolution



Method: e.g. Wright et al., 2004; Weiss et al., 2020

Eastward Velocity of Tibet



Vertical Velocity of Tibet



Key features of Deformation Field



Wright et al., in revision (2023); Preprint at EarthArXiv https://doi.org/10.31223/X5G95R

Deformation of Tibet – Continuum modulated by major faults?



Continuum Model (Thin Viscous Shell)

Continuum Model with Faults













Outline

Method and Tibet case study
 Results from across the Alpine-Himalayan Belt
 Outlook
 Conclusions













Velocity Field for Türkiye from Sentinel-1 (Weiss et al., GRL 2020)



Velocity Field for Türkiye from Sentinel-1 (Weiss et al., GRL 2020)



42° N

40° N

38" N

36" N







Velocity Field for Iran



See Andrew Watson and Jessica Payne posters at this meeting



Velocity Field for SE Tibetan Plateau (See Jin Fang Poster)



East velocity

Maximum Shear Strain (deried from East Velocity at InSAR resolution) Maximum Shear Strain (derved from Styron et al (2023) block model.)



Regional studies in the India-Eurasia collision zone















Outline

Method and Tibet case study
 Results from across the Alpine-Himalayan Belt
 Outlook
 Conclusions













Key SAR missions for tectonic InSAR













Conclusions

We can combine InSAR and GNSS to map tectonic movement across very large regions
Large, open archive from Sentinel-1 has made this possible.
Automatic processing gives good results – can be improved with bespoke local area processing
We are using the results to help understand geodynamics and seismic hazard Future missions make this an exciting time for tectonic geodesy









